

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F)	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,099	9/681,099 01/04/2001		Richard E. Vogel	70254-328	8152
20915	7590	09/24/2002			
MCGARR	Y BAIR I	LLP	EXAMINER		
171 MONROE AVENUE SUITE 600				MEREK, JOSEPH C	
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/681,099	VOGEL ET AL.				
Advisory Action						
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph C. Merek					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2002 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the corresponding amoust the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the mater TCFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension roiginally set in the final Office action; or alling date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	nt(s) a)☐ will not be entered or t would be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered and an low or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		and One				
		NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE PRIMARY EXAMINER				



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 55 and 56 now depend from claim 81 which includes claim 54. Previously, claims 55 and 56 depended directly from claim 53.

Continuation of 10. Other: The final rejection is proper since claim 53 as amended does not included the strucutre of claims 44 and 45. Claim 51 previously depended from 39 through 44 and 45. Claim 53 as amended did not include the friction enhancer on the sleeve at the upper edge.

Proposed Fig. 13 presents new matter. There is no support for the specific showing and arrangement of the bumps. The bumps could be shown in section as in Fig. 12.

Proposed Fig. 14 is acceptable.